FIXED TARGETS AU AND AL; TWO AU BEAM ENERGIES

Objective: If backward BBC rejects errant upstream beam, what percent of good events are rejected by back-scatter BBC?

All these are: 5000 URQMD events |pz/p| = 0.9496 to 0.9995 (i.e. polar angle 1.8 to 18.3 degrees) to define forwards and backwards.Larger backward BBC subtends 18.3 degrees polar angle from z=2 m location of fixed target. All charged particles are included – mostly protons and pions. I used light and heavy targets, Al and Au, to indicate range of effects.

Number vs multiplicity of forward-rapidity particles:





Number vs multiplicity of backward-rapidity particles



Correlation between backward- and forward-rapidity particles (same event each point):



5000 URQMD 3.85GeV AuAu events; all hadrons; pz/p=0.9496 to 0.9995 5000 URQMD 3.85GeV AuAu events; all hadrons; pz/p=0.9496 to 0.9995

5000 URQMD 31GeV AuAu events; all hadrons; pz/p=0.9496 to 0.9995 5000 URQMD 31GeV AuAu events; all hadrons; pz/p=0.9496 to 0.9995



Fraction of events with zero counts in backward BBC: 3.85 GeV AuAu \rightarrow 28%; 31 GeV AuAu \rightarrow 27%; 3.85 GeV AuAl \rightarrow 83%; 31 GeV AuAl \rightarrow 81%.

Projection of 3D histograms onto backward axis:





Fraction of falsely-rejected events vs threshold for veto by backward-rapidity BBC: obtained from cumulative backwards sum of preceding page.



Example: for 31 GeV Au on fixed Au, a rejection threshold of 4 particles falsely vetoes ~18% of events. This rejection varies with impact parameter so larger threshold is an advantage.